PRE-BID QUERIES

No. IWD/Div-I/ SS
Dated: 23/07/2024

Subject: Queries received against RFP for Empanelment of Architects for IIT Kanpur 2024-2029

| | N | | No. | Ager |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| 28 | 00 | 0 | PageNo. | Agency No.1 |
| ANNEXURE-7 | Note: i | 5.4 | ClauseNo. | |
| APPLICANT'S EXPERIENCE: CHART Details of major projects (Top 12 projects) executed since June 2014, in support of Evaluation Criteria at Annexure- 02. Applicants must choose the best works as per their priority. | Evaluation of Professional Strength and Experience of work shall be done on the basis of the list of top 12 projects submitted by the applicant. | Work Experience | Clause as per RFP | |
| | We request you to reduce these criteria and allow submitting 5 projects instead of 12, considering 5 projects are enough to demonstrate the skill to perform tasks as per the | We are looking forward to applying for both Category-A as well as B. The completion certificate as received from the Client consists of only total Built up area of all buildings in the whole campus, without any bifurcation. Hence, we can only provide Builtup areas bifurcation on letterhead in the form of undertaking, request you to consider the same. | Queries/Information Required | |
| | No change is proposed. | Request is not tenable (please refer clause no. 5.8) | Reply | |

| | 4 | ယ |
|--|---|---|
| | | |
| 29 | 17 | 18 |
| ANNEXURE-8 13. | Annexure-2A B | Note: i |
| Brief description of special features including "Hi-Tech Buildings" as defined in Annexure-2 A & B (Give break up of various projects component cost for e.g. Civil, | HI-TECH building projects ongoing/completed since June 2014 till the date of issue of RFP: Marks 'per project' based on each project's cost: >50 crore: 5 marks >40 and upto 50 crore: 4 marks >30 and upto 40 crore: 3 marks >20 and upto 20 crore: 1 marks >10 mean buildings with Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing (MEP) component cost equal to or more than 35% of total project cost cost excluding external development and land cost. Documents giving break-up of the cost of projects clearly substantiating MEP component cost claims to beattached. | Evaluation of Professional Strengthand Experience of work shall be done on the basis of the list of top 12 projects submitted by the applicant. |
| | The cost breakups asked for are too detailed, sometimes few costs like land are confidential also. As, we provide multi-disciplinary services under one umbrella and hence such cost bifurcation may not be possible to be provided on Client's letterhead or completion certificates providedby the Client. We can provide these on letterhead in the form of an undertaking, request you to allowthe same. | Please clarify the definition of "TOP 12 projects". We understand we can combine similar project but iconic projects which are high value and high importance to compile the top 12 project list. Please confirm. |
| | Request is not tenable | The list of similar works required in RFP document is to be prepared and submitted on your discretion. |

i Sme!

|) | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|-------------|-------|----|
| | >15 years: 2.0 marks >7 and upto 15 years: 1.0 marks >3 and upto 7 years: 0.5 marks | associated* with the applicantCivil Engineers | | | |
| | ence: | or with the consultant | | | |
| | the weightages as below: Mark 'per employee' | and Structural Engineering Professionals either in-house | A (IV) | | |
| No change is proposed. | We request you to increase | essional Stre | ANNEXURE-2A | 15-16 | 7 |
| | | proposals shall be considered. | | | |
| | | of the submission of the | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| | | virtually completed in the last | | | |
| | | that are completed or are | | | |
| | | purpose only those buildings | | | |
| | | buildings. | | | |
| | | meters or more in one or | | | |
| | | total built up area of 50,000 sq | | | |
| | | 'similar buildings' with gross | | | |
| | | consultancy services for | | | |
| | | and engineering design | | | |
| | meters. | comprehensive architectural | | | |
| | meters instead of 50,000 sq | have successfully rendered | | | |
| | ment to 3 | 5.4.1. The applicant must | | | |
| No change is proposed. | We request you to reduce this | 5.4. Work Experience: | 5.4.1 | 00 | 0 |
| | | project as listed inAnnexure-8) | | | |
| | | PROJECT SHEET (For each | | | |
| | | APPLICANT'S EXPERIENCE: | | | |
| | | ANNEXURE-8 | | | |
| | | as per their priority | | | |
| | soon. Please clarify/confirm. | must choose the best works | | | |
| | Project-1, Project-2, Project-3 and | Annexure- 02. Applicants | | | |
| | | Evaluation Criteria at | | | |
| | Project-2, Project-3 and so on. | June 2014, in support of | | | |
| | per project like Project-1, | 12 projects) executed since | | | |
| | Annexure-7 will have 1 sheet | Details of major projects (Top | | | |
| category of the projects | understand as below: | CHART | | | |
| Annexures -7 & | these annexure | APPLICANT'S EXPERIENCE: | 7 & 8 | | |
| Please ensure | Request you to clarify | Annexure-7 | ANNEX URE - | 28,29 | CT |
| | | nent besides of | | | |
| | | IIICIOIS, IVIEF, EXICIII | | | |

| 9 | ∞ | |
|---|---|--|
| 17 | 17 | |
| ANNEXURE-2A B (iii) | ANNEXURE-2A B (iii) | |
| Experience of design of energyefficient buildings Factors to be considered "Experience" (a) Either walls or roofs | Experience of design of energyefficient buildings Factors to be considered "Experience" (a) Either walls or roofs having 'U' factor as specified in the Energy Conservation Building Codes (ECBC) section 4.3.3. (b) Vertical fenestration complying with the ECBC requirements as specified in section 4.3.3. (c) Use of energy simulation program for building design (Provide brief description and simulation results) (d) Energy efficient HVAC system as specified in the ECBC section 5.2.2. Implementation of building automation system: timer based controls, motion, sensors, etc. Maximum of 2 marks per project, subject to maximum 10 marks total | equivalent) • Quantity Surveyors • Quantity Surveyors Mark 'per employee' on experience :>15 years: 1.0 marks >7 and upto 15 years: 0.5 marks >3 and upto 7 years: 0.25 marks |
| These listed criteria are complied in the design of energy efficient bldgs., but these specifics are not written down in any completion certificate as given by | We understand that we need to submit green rated projects (IGBC Gold / platinum / silver & GRIHA) to fulfil this criterion, as this certification method itself involves all the ECBC criteria. | |
| No change is proposed. Bidders are requested to proceed as provided in the RFP document. | No change is proposed. Bidders are requested to proceed as provided in the RFP document. | |

| 12 | ======================================= | 10 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| | | 17 | |
| | | ANNEXURE-2A B (iii) &(iv) | |
| GENERAL QUERY | GENERAL QUERY | (iii) Experience of design of energy efficient buildings Experience of GRIHA / LEED certification | having 'U' factor as specified in the Energy Conservation Building Codes (ECBC) section 4.3. (b) Vertical fenestration complying with the ECBC requirements as specified in section 4.3.3. (c) Use of energy simulation program for building design (Provide brief description and simulation results) (d) Energy efficient HVAC system as specified in the ECBC section 5.2.2. (e) Implementation of building automation system: timer based controls, motion, sensors, etc. Maximum of 2 marks per project, subject to maximum 10 marks total |
| Considering that the details and documentation asked for is too much in detail, please provide atleast 2 weeks of extension for us to prepare this comprehensive bid submission. | Please provide contact details (Phone no.) of a person with whom we can get in touch in case we have queries while preparing the documents for the tender. | Since the document submission against these criteria will be the same, we request client to combine these 2 criteria into 1 only. | the Client. The green building certificates (IGBC Gold / platinum / silver & GRIHA) are given to a project onlyafter such criteria are fulfilled. Hence, we will submit the projects in which we have these certificates. Please consider the same. |
| No further time extension in submission of RFP document is proposed. | Already provided in RFP document (Please refer clause 5.12 & 5.13). | No change is proposed. Bidders are requested to proceed as provided in the RFP document. | |

E

| | given RFP and to submit our most | |
|---|--|--------------|
| | Interest. We are working on the | |
| time barred (please refer clause 5.12). | | |
| Bidder's request received | We are very keen to participate in | |
| | | Agency No. 3 |
| | Hence we request you to consider doing the needful and oblige. | |
| | New Delhi. | |
| | partner should register with Council of Architecture (COA), | |
| | and consider as any one of the | |
| | OA), New D | |
| | registered with Council of | |
| | the Minimum eligibility criteria | |
| | Further we request you to change | |
| | and title of architect in its name. | |
| | Architects Act by using the style | |
| | | |
| | nomenclature of the post to any | |
| | is free to change the | |
| | architect. However, the authority | |
| | | |
| | by the Architects Act to a post | |
| | degree in architecture recognized | |
| 9 | als who do not | |
| | cannot promote or recruit | |
| | | |
| | of the view of the High Court of | |
| | uestion we disapp | |
| | activities. In response to the | |

Shall

| days. | due date o submission by 20 | request you to kindly extend the |
|-------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|

(R. K. Verma)
Acting Superintending Engineer